

# Oxfordshire County Council Equalities Impact Assessment

'One Oxfordshire' LGR proposal' 22/10/2025

# Contents

Section 1: Summary details	3
Section 2: Detail of proposal	4
Section 3: Impact Assessment - Protected Characteristics	12
Section 3: Impact Assessment - Additional Community Impacts	37
Section 3: Impact Assessment - Additional Wider Impacts	49
Section 4: Review	74

# Section 1: Summary details

Directorate and Service	N/A
Area	
What is being assessed  (e.g. name of policy, procedure, project, service or proposed service change).  Is this a new or existing function or policy?	'One Oxfordshire', Local Government Reorganisation (LGR) proposal to replace Oxfordshire's existing two-tier councils with a single county-wide unitary council. This EIA examines the proposal's potential impacts on equalities.  Yes
Summary of assessment  Briefly summarise the policy or proposed service change.  Summarise possible impacts.  Does the proposal bias, discriminate or unfairly	The One Oxfordshire proposal is a structural change intended to streamline services and improve efficiency across the county. There is no evidence of inherent bias or discrimination in the proposal's design, it does not single out or exclude any group. On the contrary, the intended service model (a single 'front door' for all residents) aims to provide consistent access for everyone county-wide. If implemented, One Oxfordshire could advance equality of opportunity by eliminating the current fragmentation of services, which is expected to benefit all communities including those with protected characteristics (for example, more cohesive planning of social care, housing, and other support).
disadvantage individuals or groups within the community?  (following completion of the assessment).	Crucially, this assessment has considered whether any group would be unfairly disadvantaged. No unfair disadvantage is anticipated provided that robust mitigations are in place during transition. The proposal does not alter eligibility or provision of services based on any protected characteristic; services will continue to be delivered on need. Some risks (e.g. temporary disruption during reorganisation, or perceptions of reduced local voice in rural areas) are identified, but these are practical issues not deliberate biases. With careful management (see mitigations in Section 3), such risks can be minimised. Overall, the proposal complies with the Public Sector Equality Duty, it seeks to foster equitable service access and does not introduce any form of unlawful discrimination. This EIA remains impartial and fact-based, given the politically sensitive context; it focuses solely on objective impacts against the Equality Act criteria, ensuring a neutral, balanced analysis.
	Conclusion (Section 1): One Oxfordshire is assessed as a neutral-to-positive proposal in equalities terms. It is large and potentially contentious, but from an equalities standpoint it neither biases nor unfairly disadvantages any protected

	group. In fact, it offers opportunities to address existing inequalities (for example, by applying a county-wide strategy to tackle deprivation and improve access in underserved areas). Ongoing monitoring and mitigation will be important, but at this stage the proposal is deemed consistent with equalities duties and can proceed to detailed impact analysis for each group below.
Completed By	Jamie Kavanagh (EDI Lead)
Authorised By	Helen Mitchell
Date of Assessment	22/10/2025

## Section 2: Detail of proposal

### Context / Background

Briefly summarise the background to the policy or proposed service change, including reasons for any changes from previous versions.

Oxfordshire currently **operates a two-tier local government system**: Oxfordshire County Council plus five district councils (Cherwell, Oxford City, South Oxfordshire, Vale of White Horse, West Oxfordshire). This structure has been in place for ~50 years. In recent years, multiple factors have driven the case for change:

- Financial Pressures Like many areas, Oxfordshire's councils face severe budget strain. Rising service demands (especially in adult and children's social care) and reduced central funding have led to forecasts of future financial shortfalls. Efficiency savings and transformation are needed to maintain services. The government's devolution agenda (White Paper 2024) has also signalled that moving to single-tier councils is a preferred solution to improve financial resilience and service delivery.
- Fragmentation of Services The two-tier setup is viewed as fragmented and inefficient, with overlapping responsibilities across county and districts. Key functions are split, e.g. the county manages social care and

highways, while districts handle housing and planning, leading to duplication, siloed decision-making and complexity for residents. All Oxfordshire councils 'do their best' for residents, but bureaucracy and split accountability can hinder strategic action on cross-cutting issues (for example, aligning housing development with social care or public health). The current system sometimes results in uneven service experiences depending on district boundaries.

• National Policy Direction - The UK Government has invited proposals for local government reorganisation in shire counties, aiming for single-tier ('unitary') structures that cover coherent economic areas. In Oxfordshire's case, the entire county is seen as the sensible geography for one unitary. Government guidance indicates new councils should serve populations of ≈500k+ to be viable and efficient. Oxfordshire's population (~725,000 in 2021, projected 760,000+ by 2025) fits well within this criterion, bolstering the case for a single county-wide council.

Given the above, Oxfordshire County Council (OCC) has put forward the 'One Oxfordshire' proposal as a fresh start. The aim is to create a modern council structure that is simpler, financially sustainable, and better able to meet residents' needs in the long term. This is seen not just as a structural change, but as an opportunity to improve outcomes (for example, by uniting efforts to tackle inequality, homelessness, climate action, etc., under one strategic body). The context for One Oxfordshire is also influenced by successful transitions elsewhere (e.g. Buckinghamshire's move to a unitary in 2020) and the devolution deal discussions (a future Thames Valley Combined Authority), a single county unitary is presented as the best platform for both local service integration and engaging with regional devolution.

### **Proposals**

Explain the detail of the proposals, including why this has been decided as the best course of action.

One Oxfordshire entails abolishing the five district councils and the county council and establishing one new 'Oxfordshire Council' unitary authority for the whole county. In practical terms, this means all local government services, from social care and highways to housing, planning, waste collection, environmental health, libraries, etc., would be delivered by the single county-wide council instead of six separate councils. The new Council would be governed by one elected body of councillors (replacing the current county councillors and district councillors) and a single officer leadership team.

**Rationale / Why this option -** Through an options appraisal, One Oxfordshire was identified by Oxfordshire County Council as the preferred and most beneficial model for the county's future. Key reasons include:

- Streamlined, 'One-Stop' Services. Residents and businesses will have one point of contact ('one front door') for all local government services. This simplifies access, no confusion over whether the county or district handles an issue. For example, currently a resident needing social care (county function) and a housing adaptation (district function) must deal with two councils; under One Oxfordshire, these related needs can be handled seamlessly together. Clear accountability is a benefit, a single Council is wholly responsible for service outcomes, making it easier for the public to understand and influence.
- Avoiding Service Disruption. Crucially, One Oxfordshire maintains county-wide critical services intact.
  Services like adult social care, children's services, and firefighting are currently run by OCC for the whole county; this proposal keeps them under one roof, avoiding any break-up ('disaggregation') of these services. In contrast, splitting into multiple unitary areas would divide those county services between new authorities, risking disruption, higher costs, and inconsistent standards (a point highlighted by the government's criteria). One Oxfordshire is the only option that combines continuity of crucial services with an opportunity to redesign local delivery for the better.
- Financial Efficiency and Resilience. By merging duplicative structures, the single council is projected to save over £30 million per year in operating costs, with net savings of ~£163 million over the first five years. (These savings arise from consolidating management, offices, contracts, IT systems, etc.) An independent analysis by PwC backs these figures. The new council would have a much larger tax base and budget, improving resilience to economic shocks and allowing strategic investment. Importantly, One Oxfordshire is estimated to be the fastest and least costly option to implement among the reorganisation choices, it involves a single transition rather than multiple new entities. Savings can be reinvested into front-line

- services or initiatives to address inequalities, benefiting all communities. (Note: Implementation would incur one-off costs ~£22m, but these are outweighed by the recurring savings within a short payback period.)
- Stronger Strategic Capacity. A single Oxfordshire Council can plan at scale for the whole county's needs. This is vital for issues like housing, infrastructure and economic development that transcend district boundaries. For example, Oxfordshire's housing plans have struggled under fragmented governance (a joint countywide plan failed previously). One Oxfordshire would enable unified spatial planning to meet housing need across the county, potentially easing inequalities in access to affordable housing. It also creates a single strong voice to work with partners (NHS, Police, universities, neighbouring counties) and to lobby Government. This can unlock opportunities like devolution deals and investment, e.g. the proposal argues a single council will better support a future Thames Valley regional mayor and not create intra-county competition.
- **Devolution and Localism Together.** The model is designed to empower local communities while achieving strategic unity. One Oxfordshire plans to establish Area Committees and a new 'Communities' unit to ensure decision-making happens at the local level on local matters. Town and parish councils would be key partners (possibly taking on more responsibilities and funding in local service delivery). This two-tier within one organisation, a large unitary with devolved area structures, aims to balance the perceived loss of the district councils. Essentially, residents would still have local democratic forums (area committees, parish engagement) but backed by the resources and coherence of a single county council. Maintaining local identity and 'place' is a stated priority: no changes to ceremonial boundaries or postal addresses are proposed, and services would be delivered in communities as today, just managed by one council instead of six.

In summary, the proposal is to create One Oxfordshire Council that is 'stronger, simpler, closer, and connected.' It consolidates governance to one layer, with promises of improved service equity (fair access for all residents), cost-effectiveness, and the capacity to tackle countywide challenges like inequality, housing, and climate change in a coordinated way.

#### **Evidence / Intelligence**

List and explain any data, consultation outcomes, research findings, feedback from service users and stakeholders etc, that supports your proposals and can help to inform the judgements you make about potential impact on different individuals, communities or groups and our ability to deliver our climate commitments.

Formulation of One Oxfordshire has been supported by a range of evidence sources:

- Independent Research & Reviews. The financial case rests on independent modeling by PwC. Likewise, projections for service demand (e.g. in social care) were informed by external experts such as Newton Europe. The proposal references lessons from comparable counties (Buckinghamshire, Dorset, etc., which recently transitioned to unitaries), assessing what worked well there and what pitfalls to avoid. This external evidence base lends credibility to claims about savings, feasibility, and risk mitigation.
- **Engagement and Consultation.** Extensive stakeholder engagement underpins the case. Over Summer 2025, OCC ran a countywide engagement programme:
  - A statistically representative residents' survey (with ~1,200 respondents) covering all parts of Oxfordshire.
  - o An open public survey (1,300+ responses) and on-street interviews gathering wider public opinion.
  - Deliberative research: focus groups (9 groups with 63 residents) and youth sounding boards (81 young people) to get qualitative insights.
  - Targeted sessions with stakeholders, e.g. town and parish councils (318 local councils were invited),
     voluntary sector, business groups, MPs and public service partners.

Feedback from these channels indicated residents' priorities align with the aims of One Oxfordshire: people want 'a council that is cost-effective, with simpler services and easy access,' but also reassurance that local voices will continue to be heard in a larger setup. This directly shaped the proposal, confirming the importance of the 'one front door' approach to services, and prompting robust measures for localism (area committees, etc.) to address the concern about local voice. The engagement showed an appetite for change if it delivers tangible benefits.

• Data on Needs and Inequalities. The proposal references Oxfordshire's Joint Strategic Needs Assessment (JSNA) and other research to illustrate current and future service needs. For instance, the JSNA highlights a growing and aging population (Oxfordshire's 65+ age group grew 48% from 2001–2021), which implies rising demand for adult social care, a challenge better met by a unified council pooling resource. It also notes pockets of deprivation and health inequality even in a relatively affluent county. These findings support

the argument that a single council could deploy county-wide initiatives (like the new Marmot Partnership on health inequality) more effectively than fragmented councils. Local service performance data also indicate that the county council has very low unit costs and strong outcomes in critical services (adult social care, children's services), the risk to these services would be lowest with the continuity of a single unitary.

• Consultation with Other Councils. Although districts and OCC have differing visions, there has been technical collaboration. Data and perspectives have been shared in working groups, and regular meetings between chief executives and leaders occurred during the proposal development. This helped ensure all options were understood. Ultimately, OCC's proposal reflects a conviction (supported by evidence above) that one council best meets the government's tests and the public's needs in Oxfordshire.

# Alternatives considered / rejected

Summarise any other approaches that have been considered in developing the policy or proposed service change, and the reasons why these were not adopted. This could include reasons why doing nothing is not an option.

During development, OCC considered three main options against the government's criteria for reform:

- Option 1: One Unitary Council for Oxfordshire, (the chosen proposal, as described). On Oxfordshire County Council's existing boundaries
- 2. **Option 2: Two Unitary Councils**, A north Oxfordshire council (created from the existing district councils of Cherwell, Oxford City and West Oxfordshire) and a Ridgeway Council (created from the existing district councils of South Oxfordshire and Vale of White Horse, and the unitary council of West Berkshire)
- 3. **Option 3: Three Unitary Councils**, with a unitary city on expanded boundaries as well as northern and southern unitaries, including West Berkshire.
- Option 2 (Two Councils), This might have grouped some districts together (exact boundaries varied in ideas, e.g. City and Cherwell in one, and the southern districts in another). It was rejected because it scored lower on key criteria. Neither new council would meet the preferred population size (both would be under under 500k). This raises concerns about resilience and viability from the start. Financially, while some savings would occur, they'd be eroded by the need to duplicate county-level services. Some mitigations exists in using West Berkshire as an existing unitary. Disaggregating the county services into two parts would be costly and complex, introducing risks to service continuity (particularly for vulnerable groups reliant on countywide services). For these reasons, a two-council model was deemed suboptimal.

• Option 3 (Three Councils), This scored even lower. Three mini-unitaries would be below the 500k population guideline (approx. 200k–300k each). The fragmentation of services would be greatest here: not only splitting current county services three ways (with attendant cost and risk), but also potentially altering boundaries (one idea involved redrawing Oxford city separately, etc.), causing disruption. Financially, the savings case is highly sub optimal likely yielding limited or no net savings after transition costs. This option was therefore not pursued further.

Why One Oxfordshire over the alternatives. In summary, One Oxfordshire (Option 1) was found to:

- Best meet government requirements for a single tier covering the whole area.
- Offer the highest financial benefit, with a swift payback, whereas Options 2 and 3 had fewer reliable savings and high disaggregation costs.
- Avoid breaking up crucial countywide services (adult social care, children's services, fire), avoiding the attendant risks of Options 2/3.
- Provide a coherent geography matching Oxfordshire's functional economic area and identity (Options 2/3 would split the economy and potentially create competition between parts of the county).
- Be simplest to implement (single transition program vs. multiple) with lowest risk of service interruption during the changeover.

Alternatives were therefore set aside. The chosen proposal includes measures to mitigate the downsides sometimes associated with large unitaries (as noted, area committees for localism, service hubs, maintaining local ties) to combine the benefits of scale with the strengths of local focus. The emphasis is on 'continuity plus positive reform', keep what works in the current system (county services, local access points) and reform what doesn't (duplication, fragmentation). This balanced approach is at the core of One Oxfordshire, and its anticipated impacts, especially on different community groups, are detailed next.

# **Section 3: Impact Assessment - Protected Characteristics**

Protected Characteristic	No Impact	Positive	Negative	Description of Impact	Any actions or mitigation to reduce negative impacts	Action owner* (*Job Title, Organisation)	Timescale and monitoring arrangements
Age				The age profile in Oxfordshire is diverse, the county has an aging population (20% of residents in rural districts are 65+ vs 12% in Oxford city), and areas with many young people (Oxford's student population makes its median age only 32). One Oxfordshire is expected to benefit older people by protecting and enhancing adult social care and health services. Under a single council, critical services for older adults (e.g. social care, home care, day services) will not be split or disrupted, the proposal explicitly avoids disaggregating these services. This stability is crucial because older residents rely heavily on such support. In fact,	To ensure older people benefit and do not experience any service gaps during transition, the implementation plan includes a 'disruption-free transition' commitment, meaning current care packages, contacts, and support channels will be maintained through the change. The new council may consider retaining locality teams for social care so that on Day 1, an elderly resident still deals with the same social worker or support team as before (just under a new employer). For rural areas, Area Committees and possibly local area boards will be set up early, to give local representatives (including those advocating for older residents) a forum to	Programme Transition Lead & Adult Services Director, OCC	throughout transition 2025– 2028, with post- vesting day review of any reported access issues from elderly or youth groups.)

maintaining one county-wide adults' service prevents the major risk of fragmentation that could occur under multiple smaller councils (which could have led to uneven care standards or confusion). A unified authority can also more effectively join up services that older people use, for example, integrating OCC's adult social care with districtrun housing adaptations and community transport, which should improve outcomes for older residents (currently these are handled separately).

There is a potential concern that a larger council might feel more distant for some elderly residents, especially in rural villages, e.g. fearing loss of the familiar local district office or slower response. However, mitigations are built-in: the new council plans local area offices and Area Committees

influence decisions. The council also has an agefriendly initiative through its public health and communities' strategies (e.g. 'community hubs' for multigenerational activities) which the unitary can expand. In summary, by carefully managing the changeover and investing savings into community-level services, the needs of both older and younger residents will be safeguarded. No further specific actions are required beyond those already planned (e.g. communications to elderly residents about where to get help, continuity of care assurances), but continuous monitoring is advised.

to retain a presence in	
communities. Customer	
access points (like libraries	
or council hubs) will remain	
distributed, so an elderly	
person should still be able to	
access face-to-face support	
nearby. The council's digital	
services will also be unified	
and made user-friendly for	
those who prefer online	
access. By bringing together	
information from multiple	
councils, it may become	
easier for older people (and	
their families) to navigate one	
system rather than several.	
The proposal also	
emphasises working closely	
with town/parish councils and	
the voluntary sector in	
service delivery, this local	
partnership approach will	
help ensure older residents	
in rural communities are not	
left isolated or unheard.	
For younger people and	
children, the impact is	
likewise neutral or slightly	
positive. Children's social	

	gara and youth continue	
	care and youth services	
	(currently county-run) would	
	continue seamlessly,	
	avoiding any break-up of	
	teams that support	
	vulnerable children.	
	Education services (school	
	place planning, SEN support)	
	remain unified, potentially	
	benefiting from closer links to	
	housing and community	
	services now under the same	
	council. The Marmot	
	principles adopted by OCC	
	include 'Give every child the	
	best start in life', a single	
	council can align early years	
	support, public health and	
	family services across the	
	county to further this goal.	
	There is no aspect of the	
	proposal that would	
	negatively treat people due	
	to age. Service delivery will	
	continue to be needs-based,	
	and the large council's	
	strategic focus on prevention	
	(as described in the case for	
	change) could particularly	
	help both ends of the age	

				spectrum, e.g. projects to reduce isolation among the elderly, and better opportunities for youth countywide.			
Protected Characteristic	No Impact	Positive	Negative	Description of Impact	Any actions or mitigation to reduce negative impacts	Action owner* (*Job Title, Organisation)	Timescale and monitoring arrangements
Disability	$\boxtimes$			Around 99,000 people in Oxfordshire have a disability (per Census 2021, including physical, mental, and sensory disabilities).  Ensuring their needs are met is a vital part of this proposal. Overall, disabled individuals should not experience any reduction in support, on the contrary, they could see improvements through more integrated services. For instance, currently someone with a disability might receive social care from the county council, housing support (such as Disabled Facilities	The implementation should involve disability advocacy groups in design of the new processes, to ensure nothing is inadvertently made less accessible (e.g. new council website, contact centre, physical offices should all meet accessibility standards from the outset).  OCC already has an accessible website and does Equality Impact Assessments for major changes, this culture will continue. The EIA finds no inherent negative impact on disabled people, but to solidify positive	Adult Social Care & Community Services leads	Design phase 2025-27 ensure all customer pathways are accessible, review post- 2028).

from a district council, and use district-run leisure facilities with concession schemes.

Under One Oxfordshire, these functions would be coordinated within one

authority, making it easier to deliver a holistic package of support. The proposal explicitly notes that keeping services like adult social care and Special Educational Needs (SEN) services intact is a benefit, avoiding the disaggregation that could increase costs and lower service standards' for these critical areas. This continuity is a positive for people with disabilities who rely on consistent care, therapy, or specialist education support.

Importantly, the new council will also inherit and continue the county's commitments to accessibility and inclusion. Oxfordshire CC has been working on improving digital

could streamline assessment processes (for instance, explore a single assessment that covers social care needs and housing needs together).

This would reduce bureaucratic burden on individuals with disabilities. Also, the Council should maintain the existing Joint Commissioning approach with the NHS for services like Learning Disability support, this joint working can be enhanced when there is one local authority instead of six.

One Oxfordshire can be beneficial for people with disabilities. All planned mitigations (maintaining service continuity, engaging users) are in place; no significant additional actions are needed beyond monitoring.

accessibility, building access,
and rolling out tools for
people with disabilities (for
example, the 'Inclusive
Service Delivery' strategy
under the Including Everyone
framework).
These efforts will carry on
uninterrupted, now applied
countywide with potentially
more resources. There is no
indication of any negative
impact such as loss of
eligibility or reduction in
provisions for disabled
residents, the merger does
not change service criteria. If
anything, a unified approach
may make it easier to
address gaps. For example,
consistent Blue Badge
parking enforcement policy
across the county, or a single
disability register for planning
services, could emerge as
benefits.
One area to watch is how the
new council manages
community-based support

				networks (currently, some districts fund local disability charities or run accessible recreation programs). These need to be preserved or unified so that people do not lose local support. Given the financial savings projected, the Council should be able to continue such support and possibly target it better using a county-wide view of needs (ensuring all parts of Oxfordshire have equitable access, not dependent on district budget disparities).			
Protected Characteristic	No Impact	Positive	Negative	Description of Impact	Any actions or mitigation to reduce negative impacts	Action owner* (*Job Title, Organisation)	Timescale and monitoring arrangements
Gender Reassignment	X			This refers to people who are transgender or non-binary. Oxfordshire has a relatively small but notable trans/non-binary community, about 0.6% of residents identify with a gender different from birth sex (slightly above the	Given neutrality, the main mitigation is to continue engagement and inclusive practices. The council should ensure its public consultation and communication recognises trans and non-binary voices (for example,	EDI Lead	ongoing

national average), and Oxford City is known to have one of the largest trans and non-binary populations outside London.

The One Oxfordshire proposal is not expected to have any direct specific impact (positive or negative) on this group, as it does not alter personal identity documents or gender-related services. The council's duties toward trans residents (e.g. providing inclusive services, tackling any discrimination) remain unchanged.

One potential indirect positive is that a single council can adopt uniform inclusive policies and staff training across the whole county. Currently, OCC and the districts may have slightly different approaches to LGBTQ+ inclusion (though all follow Equality Act requirements).

using the appropriate language, providing the option for people to identify as non-binary in surveys, etc., which OCC already does). There should also be a focus on supporting LGBTQ+ youth, e.g. ensuring youth services and schools remain attentive to gender identity issues.

#### The existing LGBTQ+

employee network at OCC can be expanded to all staff of the new council, which helps maintain an internal culture of inclusion that translates into better service for the community.

In summary, no adverse impact on gender reassignment is identified. The new council's policies will uphold the rights and dignity of trans individuals just as the current councils do.

With One Oxfordshire, there
is an opportunity to adopt the
Equality & Diversity
framework, OCC's 'Including
Everyone' framework 2025–
2029 emphasises tackling
discrimination and
specifically mentions
commitments like achieving
the Race Equality Code and
being a Council of Sanctuary
for vulnerable migrants.
While these are broader,
they create an environment
of inclusion beneficial to all
protected groups, including
trans people.
The new council can ensure
all front-line staff (from
libraries to housing officers)
get awareness training about
transgender and non-binary
residents' needs, building on
existing best practice. This
consistency might be an
improvement if any district
had less developed training
before.

Protected Characteristic	No Impact	Positive	Negative	Description of Impact	Any actions or mitigation to reduce negative impacts	Action owner* (*Job Title, Organisation)	Timescale and monitoring arrangements
Marriage & Civil Partnership				Marriage and civil partnership status does not receive differential service (except in employment rights, which the council will uphold for its staff). For the public, the main related service is the Registration Service (for marriages, civil partnerships). Currently, Oxfordshire County Council already manages births, deaths, and marriages registration countywide, this will continue under the unitary.  No change in how marriages or civil partnerships are registered or recognised will occur; all legal rights remain the same. The workload and offices of the Registration Service simply move into the new council structure untouched.	None needed specific to this characteristic. The Registration service should ensure continuity (e.g. existing bookings for weddings at council-run venues will be honoured without interruption by the change in governance). Communication to residents might clarify that 'Oxfordshire Council' will be the authority issuing marriage certificates instead of OCC, but legally this is a seamless transition.  Council policies (for example, employee benefits for spouses/partners) will carry over and likely be standardised at the best level across the new organisation.	N/A	Monitored as part of general service continuity

				There is no indication that any group of people based on marital status would be advantaged or disadvantaged by the council reorganisation. Married people, single people, those in civil partnerships or divorced, all would continue to access services equitably. The Census data shows about 47% of Oxfordshire households are married couples (including 0.8% same-sex married/civil partners). Those proportions are not expected to shift due to this proposal.			
Protected Characteristic	No Impact	Positive	Negative	Description of Impact	Any actions or mitigation to reduce negative impacts	Action owner* (*Job Title, Organisation)	Timescale and monitoring arrangements
Pregnancy & Maternity	×			Services touching on pregnancy and new parents include maternity healthcare (NHS-led), but also council services like antenatal classes in children's centres,	Ensure that information for new parents (e.g. how to register a birth, how to access support) is clearly consolidated under the new council, but this is a	N/A	To be reviewed by Registration/ Children's Services post-reorg.

health visiting (commissioned straightforward by public health), family communications task. The support and housing for council's public health expectant mothers, etc. function, which includes These will not be negatively maternal health improvement impacted by the structural programs, will remain intact and likely get a stronger change. platform in one authority. Oxfordshire's general fertility rate is slightly below national Perhaps one mitigation is to average (about 51 births per keep maternity voices 1,000 women) and in 2021 included in area forums, e.g. there were ~7,380 births in invite local mother and baby the county. The proposal groups or use parent forums does not change eligibility or to get feedback during access to any support for transition, to catch any pregnant women or parents unforeseen hiccups (like if a of infants. particular baby group was district-run, making sure it In fact, having a single continues). There appear to council overseeing both be no negative impacts, so children's services and no major mitigation required housing could help families beyond maintaining service who need support, for levels. instance, a pregnant woman facing homelessness currently might navigate between district housing and county social services; under one council, assistance can

be more coordinated. The

				council already has initiatives for early help (through Children & Family Centres) which will continue uniformly.  No adverse impact is foreseen on maternity leave or related employment rights within the council, staff who are pregnant or on maternity leave will transfer to the new council with protected terms (TUPE laws apply). For service users, things like free childcare entitlements, maternity disability adaptations, etc., are governed by national policy and remain unaffected.			
Protected Characteristic	No Impact	Positive	Negative	Description of Impact	Any actions or mitigation to reduce negative impacts	Action owner* (*Job Title, Organisation)	Timescale and monitoring arrangements
Race	×	⊠		Oxfordshire's population is ethnically diverse in some areas and less so in others. Overall, nearly 1 in 4 residents (23%) are from an ethnic minority background	The new council should continue targeted engagement with ethnic minority communities. For instance, maintain advisory groups or forums that existed	EDI Lead, Engagement Team	during transition communications and ongoing in new council's EDI strategy.

(not White-British). Oxford
City is ~47% non-WhiteBritish, whereas some rural
districts are over 90% WhiteBritish. Key point: the council
reorganisation does not alter
any person's ethnic
background or how they are
treated by law; it can,
however, influence how well
the council addresses racial
inequalities.

There is no indication of any negative impact such as reduced access for any ethnic group. All residents, regardless of ethnicity, will come under the new council's single set of services and policies, which will be designed to be inclusive.

In fact, One Oxfordshire could help standardise best practices county-wide. For example, if one district had particularly effective outreach to Gypsy, Roma & Traveller communities and another did (like Oxford City's migrant communities forum or similar initiatives) at the county level.

Providing translated information about the changes (in common community languages like Polish, Urdu, Portuguese, noting ~9% of residents speak English as second language) is a simple communication mitigation to ensure no one is left confused by the transition.

Also, monitoring service uptake by ethnicity after the reorg will be important to ensure that previously underserved groups are not slipping through cracks.

In employment and service design, applying an anti-racism lens (the Council has committed to be an anti-racist organisation) will help guard against bias. There is no evidence the reorg would cause bias, but maintaining training and accountability

1		T
not, the new council can	(e.g. continue unconscious	
adopt that approach across	bias training for staff, use	
the board. As a single	Equality Impact Assessments	
employer, the council can	for new policies) will mitigate	
strengthen its diversity in	any subtle negative trends.	
staffing (aiming for workforce		
representation of global	Overall, the impact on racial	
majority/racialised	groups is expected to be	
communities at all levels).	neutral or even positive	
,	through improved equity	
The Including Everyone	strategies.	
framework highlights that the		
County Council is working		
towards Race Equality Code		
accreditation, this		
commitment could extend to		
the new Council potentially		
improving how services are		
delivered to minority ethnic		
residents (through cultural		
awareness, language		
support, etc.).		
Some racial disparities exist		
in Oxfordshire's outcomes		
(for instance, higher		
proportions of ethnic		
minorities in Oxford		
experiencing poverty or lower		
access to services). A unitary		
council can address these		

		more strategically, e.g. targeting deprived multi-			
		ethnic neighbourhoods with		ı	
		coordinated interventions			
		(housing, education, public		1	
		health all together).		ı	
		The proposal explicitly			
		mentions tackling 'deep			
		inequalities across the		ı	
		county', including those		ı	
		masked by overall affluence.			
		The Marmot partnership and		ı	
		Local Policy Lab are tools the			
		new council will use to			
		reduce inequalities in health			
		and opportunity for ethnic			
		minorities. This suggests a		ı	
		positive intent.		ı	
		There is a risk worth noting: if		ı	
		there were any distrust or		ı	
		lack of engagement from			
		minority communities due to			
		changes in governance (for		ı	
		example, new council might			
		need to rebuild relationships			
		that district community		1	
		workers had), it must be		1	
		managed.		ı	
				•	

				The EIA does not foresee this as a systemic issue, but it's important that the new council visibly continues support for initiatives like Oxford's Inclusive Communities work, global majority/racialised community groups, etc., so that those communities feel equally valued under the new setup.			
Protected Characteristic	No Impact	Positive	Negative	Description of Impact	Any actions or mitigation to reduce negative impacts	Action owner* (*Job Title, Organisation)	Timescale and monitoring arrangements
Sex	X			This considers impacts on men and women. The population of Oxfordshire is roughly half male, half female (50.1% female, 49.9% male). The council's services are largely gender-neutral in provision (apart from specific initiatives like women's refuges for domestic abuse survivors, which will continue).	Ensure continuity and strengthening of gender-specific programs: e.g. the new council should maintain Oxfordshire's commitments as a White Ribbon authority (assuming OCC/districts have such commitments against violence to women) and continue supporting women's centres, etc., as well as support initiatives for	N/A	N/A

The reorganisation does not alter any rights or access based on sex. Both women and men should see no change in how they receive services like libraries, waste collection, social care, etc. One possible positive is that a single council may streamline initiatives that benefit a particular gender group, e.g. domestic abuse services (currently county council handles support and districts handle housing for survivors) could be unified for a more cohesive response.

This would benefit predominantly women (who are most domestic abuse victims) but also male victims who will have one system to approach. Similarly, things like support for women's health or men's health via public health could see more consistent rollout across all areas.

men (like men's mental health projects, father's parenting groups, etc.).
There is no direct negative impact to mitigate but being vigilant that service redesign post-merger does not inadvertently overlook gender differences is key.

For example, if community engagement structures change, make sure women's groups (WI, mother toddler groups) and men's sheds or similar have equal voice.

In employment, the council should monitor any changes: ensure female staff (who may form a larger portion of the workforce in services like care) are treated fairly through reorganisation. The proposal already notes the need to engage and retain staff through the change; this includes both men and women. With these considerations in place, we

It's important to note that no	foresee a neutral impact on	<u> </u>
job losses or service cuts are	·	
dictated specifically in areas	sex.	
that would disproportionately		
affect one gender. For		
instance, if there were a		
reduction in a service		
primarily used by women		
(like certain childcare		
programs), that could be		
negative, but nothing of that		
sort is in the proposal,		
services are expected to		
continue and potentially		
improve through efficiencies.		
Politically, one could observe		
that combining councils will		
reduce the overall number of		
councillors. Currently, across		
6 councils there is a certain		
gender makeup; in the new		
single council, the		
representation could change.		
This is speculative, but worth		
ensuring that the new		
council's elections encourage		
diverse representation. This		
is more of a democratic		
consideration than a service		

				impact, and the election process will be governed by the usual rules (and is outside the scope of this EIA).			
Protected Characteristic	No Impact	Positive	Negative	Description of Impact	Any actions or mitigation to reduce negative impacts	Action owner* (*Job Title, Organisation)	Timescale and monitoring arrangements
Sexual Orientation	×			Approximately 3.4% of Oxfordshire residents identified as lesbian, gay or bisexual (LGB+) in the 2021 Census, though the true figure may be higher due to non-disclosure. The One Oxfordshire proposal does not negatively affect residents based on sexual orientation. All services are provided regardless of sexual orientation, and that will remain so.  As with gender reassignment, the impact here is more about ensuring continued inclusion. The new council can champion	Maintain visible support for LGBTQ+ communities as a single council, to signal continuity. E.g., if multiple councils currently mark Pride Month, the new council must do the same, so no one feels the loss of an ally. Internally, policies like supporting staff networks for LGBTQ+ employees should continue. Externally, the council should continue diversity training so that front-line staff provide inclusive service to all residents (for example, not assuming gender of partners, etc.).	EDI Lead/ HR/ Policy	by vesting day when policies merge.

Protected Characteristic	No Impact	Positive	<b>Negative</b>	two district councils. There is no evidence that any council- run service specifically for LGB or heterosexual people will change, services such as sexual health clinics are NHS but commissioned jointly with OCC Public Health, and will remain coordinated  Description of Impact  Oxfordshire residents have	Any actions or mitigation to reduce negative impacts  Ensure the new council	Action owner* (*Job Title, Organisation)  EDI Lead/	Timescale and monitoring arrangements
				county-wide LGBTQ+ inclusion (for instance, supporting Pride events in Oxford and perhaps expanding engagement to towns across the county). If anything, a unified council might allocate more consistent support to LGBTQ+ community groups which previously might have only been funded by one or	There is no direct adverse impact to mitigate beyond these ongoing good practices. New council to adopt existing equality policies from OCC and districts that protect against discrimination based on sexual orientation		

etc., and 37% no religion	example, connections	T	T
(2011 data, similar	through its Prevent and		
proportions in 2021). The	cohesion work with religious		
council reorganisation is	leaders. This should be		
secular in nature and does	maintained so faith		
not affect freedom of religion.	communities feel		
People of all religions will	represented. Practical		
continue to receive services	accommodations (e.g. prayer		
equitably. If anything, one	rooms in public buildings, or		
council might better	scheduling meetings around		
coordinate with faith groups	major religious holidays)		
on community projects (for	should continue. But no		
instance, working with	negative impact is identified,		
churches, mosques, etc. on	so mitigation is simply		
social initiatives could be	ongoing inclusion.		
streamlined under one			
authority rather than			
separate approaches by			
district and county).			
There are no policies in the			
proposal that touch on			
religious practices (like			
planning permission for			
places of worship will follow			
the same planning rules, just			
under one planning			
authority). The only minor			
consideration: currently some			
district councils have civic			
traditions tied to certain			

religious dates (like perhaps a civic service); the new council will establish its own civic traditions but that has negligible impact on the public.		
public.		

## **Section 3: Impact Assessment - Additional Community Impacts**

Additional community impacts	No Impact	Positive	Negative	Description of impact	Any actions or mitigation to reduce negative impacts	Action owner  (*Job Title, Organisation)	Timescale and monitoring arrangements
Rural communities				One Oxfordshire will not change the presence of services in rural areas, for example, libraries, fire stations, clinics in market towns will remain. It may benefit rural residents by coordinating improvements across the whole rural area rather than by district. For instance, the new council could develop a comprehensive strategy for rural transport links or digital connectivity using the combined resources of county and district.  Rural communities sometimes fear that a large, centralised authority could overlook them in favour of urban centres. The proposal recognises this and explicitly commits to 'bringing decision making closer to	Maintaining localism is the key mitigation. The proposal of creating Area Committees for different parts of the county will mitigate the risk of rural communities feeling removed from decision-making. These committees can focus on local priorities, e.g. a cluster of villages can raise issues specific to them.  Additionally, the new council may wish to instate locality-based officers (community liaison) who understand rural community needs, an approach OCC already uses in some services. Ensuring that the savings from reorg do not disproportionately come from closures of rural facilities is vital, current plans are to keep service delivery points as-is initially, so no		

Additional community impacts	No Impact	Positive	Negative	Description of impact	Any actions or mitigation to reduce negative impacts	Action owner  (*Job Title, Organisation)	Timescale and monitoring arrangements
				communities' through area committees and empowering town/parish councils. With these structures, rural voices (parish councillors, local community groups) should have a formal channel into the new council, arguably stronger than under the two-tier system where influence might have been fragmented.  From an equalities perspective, rurality itself is not a protected characteristic, but rural isolation can exacerbate disadvantage (e.g. accessing care or employment). The new council's duty is to ensure equitable service delivery so that rural residents get a fair share of attention.  Given OCC already covered the whole rural area for many services, continuity is assured	village libraries or rural tip sites should close purely due to reorganisation.  The data shows rural areas have poorer physical access to services (85 small areas in Oxon are in the worst 10% nationally for access to key services by distance); one council can target these through innovative solutions (mobile services, digital offers).  Effective communication will also mitigate apprehension: rural residents should be informed how to contact the new council (likely the same phone numbers/online portals they used for OCC and districts, just unified).  Emphasising that local councillors will represent divisions that are often rural		

Additional community impacts	No Impact	Positive	Negative	Description of impact	Any actions or mitigation to reduce negative impacts	Action owner  (*Job Title, Organisation)	Timescale and monitoring arrangements
				for those services. District-level rural programs (like specific rural grants or community bus services) would be absorbed into the unitary, it will be important that these are not lost. However, the amalgamation of budgets could even allow more efficient support for rural initiatives, eliminating duplication	in nature, and that parishes remain intact, helps reassure continuity of local democratic input. Overall, with these mitigations, no negative impact on rural communities is expected.  Instead, the outcome should be neutral or slightly positive if one council can deliver more consistent support to rural areas (for example, applying successful pilots in one district to all rural areas countywide).		
Armed Forces	×			One Oxfordshire will inherit OCC's lead role in the Armed Forces Covenant for the county. Currently, both county and districts have Covenant commitments (e.g. each district may have an Armed Forces champion councillor,	The new council should continue the Armed Forces Covenant partnership work, with continuity of a countywide Civil-Military Partnership Board. They should ensure representation from military base	Policy Team	within first year of new council

Additional community impacts	No Impact	Positive	Negative	Description of impact	Any actions or mitigation to reduce negative impacts	Action owner  (*Job Title, Organisation)	Timescale and monitoring arrangements
				and OCC coordinates	commanders in community		
				countywide support).	engagement structures.		
				Under a single council, this	A practical action is to re-		
				support can be unified, one	issue the Covenant under the		
				elected Armed Forces Champion, one point of	new council's name promptly, to show		
				contact for forces queries,	commitment hasn't changed.		
				which might simplify things for	Also, maintain specialist staff		
				service members and families.	roles, such as an Armed		
				There should be no break in	Forces Liaison Officer, to		
				services for armed forces	help service families navigate		
				community.	council services. By keeping		
				For instance, support for veteran housing, today, a veteran might approach a district housing authority for	these in place, forces personnel and families should experience seamless support.		
				social housing and OCC for	In summary, the impact is		
				adult care; with one council,	neutral, no loss of service or		
				those processes link up more	additional barriers. The		
				directly.	cohesive approach could		
				The distinct nature of military life (frequent moves, schooling needs, healthcare, etc.)	even be beneficial by having one consistent policy (for example, some districts may have slightly different council		
				means the new council must	tax reliefs for forces, one		

Additional community impacts	No Impact	Positive	Negative	Description of impact	Any actions or mitigation to reduce negative impacts	Action owner  (*Job Title, Organisation)	Timescale and monitoring arrangements
				remain sensitive to the community. But since OCC already covers education admissions (important for forces children) and healthcare liaison, continuity is strong. We do not anticipate any negative impact, the Armed Forces community will be treated as any other residents, with awareness of their Covenant rights (e.g. priority in school admissions, housing allocations where applicable) continuing under a single set of policies.	council can simplify and apply best practice for all)		
Carers				Under One Oxfordshire, the support to carers (which is largely through adult social care and children's services for young carers) remains under the same organisation, no structural change in roles.	The new council should continue the existing Carers Strategy work. OCC has a carers support team and contracts with charities (like Carers Oxfordshire), those will remain in place and just be managed by the new	Adult Social Care, Carers Lead	at point of transition communications

Additional community impacts	No Impact	Positive	Negative	Description of impact	Any actions or mitigation to reduce negative impacts	Action owner  (*Job Title, Organisation)	Timescale and monitoring arrangements
				It may even simplify things: currently a carer might interact with county council for a Carer's Assessment and with district for say a housing issue; one council can handle both aspects in a joined-up way. The proposal emphasises strengthening social care, which indirectly benefits carers by ensuring the people they care for get consistent support. There is no anticipated negative effect on carers, eligibility and rights (from the Care Act) remain.  Potential positives:  • Unified data might help identify and support 'hidden' carers across the county. Also, a single council can pool budgets to possibly enhance respite services or carers'	council. It will be important to communicate to carers that all contact points remain (e.g. the Carers Helpline number stays active).  No disruption in services like respite bookings should occur; this is ensured by the commitment to a 'safe and legal Day 1' for all social care operations.  Since carers are by nature often stretched thin, the council must avoid any confusion for them during transition, clear letters or public info can reassure that 'you will continue to get support as usual; the name on the letterhead might change to Oxfordshire Council, but phone numbers and staff contacts remain consistent.' This will mitigate		

Additional community impacts	No Impact	Positive	Negative	Description of impact	Any actions or mitigation to reduce negative impacts	Action owner  (*Job Title, Organisation)	Timescale and monitoring arrangements
				breaks. If any districts had local carer support grants, those could be merged with county's carers support budget to create a larger, countywide program (ensuring equitable access for carers in all areas)	any anxiety among the carer community.		
Areas of deprivation		×		The One Oxfordshire proposal explicitly aims to tackle inequalities and 'level up' outcomes across the county. By having one council, it can direct resources to deprived areas without bureaucratic hindrance.  For example, previously, district councils controlled certain regeneration funds for their areas; a single council could take a countywide view	The new council should develop a targeted plan for deprived communities, building on existing district regeneration plans. For example, if Oxford City had a plan for Barton or Blackbird Leys regeneration, the new council needs to adopt and continue it.  The advantage is they can combine it with county transport or education	Public Health & Communities, OCC;	ongoing, with review of indices post-implementation to gauge progress.)

Additional community impacts	No Impact	Positive	Negative	Description of impact	Any actions or mitigation to reduce negative impacts	Action owner  (*Job Title, Organisation)	Timescale and monitoring arrangements
				and ensure that the most deprived wards (wherever they are) get priority in investment. The foreword of the proposal and the Marmot commitment underscore that addressing socio-economic disadvantage is a core objective, 'provide an equitable distribution of support to our most vulnerable residents'.  This indicates a likely positive impact for deprived areas: more coherent anti-poverty strategies (across housing, education, skills, public health), and potential reinvestment of efficiency savings into preventive services in those communities.  Also, one council can simplify customer service for those facing hardship. For instance, currently a resident in poverty	projects in that area for a bigger impact. Ensuring community involvement (resident associations, etc.) in shaping interventions will mitigate any sense of disengagement.  Additionally, continuing to monitor socio-economic data (as OCC does via the JSNA and insight reports) will help the unitary direct funding appropriately. The proposal mentions leveraging the Local Policy Lab with universities to address inequalities, following through on that will provide evidence-led actions for deprived areas.  The Council's adoption of the socio-economic duty (voluntarily, via Including Everyone framework) means it will consider poorer groups		

Additional community impacts	No Impact	Positive	Negative	Description of impact	Any actions or mitigation to reduce negative impacts	Action owner  (*Job Title, Organisation)	Timescale and monitoring arrangements
				might have to apply to a district for housing benefit/council tax support and to the county for a welfare assistance grant; with a single entity, there could be a onestop application for financial help.  There is no negative impact foreseen on deprived areas, services there (like community centres, advice centres, etc.) will continue, and the new council will be politically incentivised to show it's improving outcomes in those areas.  However, one risk might be if a deprived area feels that losing its district council means losing its 'champion.' Mitigation through area committees (which would cover those urban areas	in decisions. All this indicates a systemic positive approach.  In practical terms, no mitigation needed, because impact is positive. The main 'mitigation' is to keep the focus: do not let the impetus fade amidst structural change, i.e. ring-fence some of the savings to invest in prevention programs in deprived communities (this is a policy choice outside the EIA but relevant to outcomes)		

Additional community impacts	No Impact	Positive	Negative	Description of impact	Any actions or mitigation to reduce negative impacts	Action owner  (*Job Title, Organisation)	Timescale and monitoring arrangements
				specifically) can ensure local advocacy remains.			

## **Section 3: Impact Assessment - Additional Wider Impacts**

Additional Wider Impacts	No Impact	Positive	Negative		Any actions or mitigation to reduce negative impacts	Action owner* (*Job Title, Organisation)	Timescale and monitoring arrangements
Staff				The reorganisation offers opportunities for staff but also brings challenges. Overall, all employees across the six existing councils will transition to the new unitary council, ensuring job continuity in the immediate term. However, merging organisations of this scale inevitably means some duplicate roles (especially senior management and backoffice positions) will become unnecessary over time to achieve the efficiency savings promised.  This creates a potential negative impact for those staff at risk of redundancy. On the positive side, a single large council can provide a more unified career structure, clearer progression pathways, and the chance to work in a more integrated environment, with shared values and culture. The proposal explicitly states that OCC will 'work with Oxfordshire employees to develop the culture, values	The council has committed to a 'disruption-free transition' for both services and staff. In practice, this means comprehensive HR planning and support for employees. Key mitigation measures include:  • Early in the transition, the council will establish an HR workstream (as noted in the transition plan) to map out the new staffing structure and inform people how and when changes will occur.  • Transparent communication about which posts might be at risk and what the selection process is will help reduce rumour and stress. Staff and unions will be consulted extensively; trade union engagement is a legal requirement,	HR and Culture Change/ HR lead for LGR	Ongoing from decision through 2028, with regular pulse surveys and union meetings to monitor workforce well-being

and identities of the new and OCC has positive council(s)'. This indicates a relations with unions strong commitment to engage like Unison, who will staff in shaping the new no doubt be involved organisation, which can boost in protecting staff morale and a sense of interests (indeed, Unison nationally ownership. urges that no Under One Oxfordshire, compulsory approximately 8,000-10,000 redundancies happen staff (those currently due to LGR). employed by the county and The council will seek five districts) will come to bring staff together together into one workforce. into a single council From Day 1 (vesting day) all and where staff will be legally transferred redundancies are to the new Oxfordshire needed at the point of Council, preserving their transition or after existing terms and conditions vesting day, (via TUPE or equivalent staff organisational change transfer protections). This policies will apply. means in the short term no absorb staff where one automatically loses their possible job or suffers a change in pay due to reorganisation alone. The new council must be 'safe A positive mitigation and legal from day one', so all is the plan to actively critical posts will be in place to 'develop the culture' maintain services.

with staff. The new council will run

Over the medium term, as the council consolidates, it will review structure for efficiency. The business case assumes a significant portion of the £30m annual savings comes from reducing duplication in management, administration, and support functions. For example, instead of six separate HR teams, IT teams, finance teams, etc., the unitary will have one of each.

Similarly, one Chief Executive and Senior Leadership Team will replace the six that exist now. This implies some posts will be deleted. The exact number is not in the proposal, mostly at management or corporate levels, phased out through restructuring and natural attrition. While frontline service jobs (like social workers, planners, customer service staff, bin collectors, etc.) are expected to be retained to continue service delivery, even they will experience change in

engagement
workshops, joint team
building across
former organisations,
and training on the
new values. Change
management
programmes (often
called 'Organisation
Development') are
expected to help staff
adapt.

Monitoring of attrition by protected groups will help the new council in understanding if there have been any unintentional negative effects from its actions. Consistent and regular monitoring of equalities will be critical to ensuring that transition to vesting and beyond will be as equitable and fair as possible.

reporting lines and possibly	
location or team adjustments. [	
For staff, we set has been set.	
For staff, negative impacts	
include uncertainty and	
anxiety during the transition	
period. Change of employer	
and restructuring can affect	
morale, especially if	
communication is poor or if	
individuals fear redundancy.	
It's crucial to manage this	
carefully to avoid loss of	
skilled personnel (a risk if	
people leave due to	
insecurity).	
The control of the language of	
There may also be practical	
challenges: aligning different	
pay scales and HR policies	
from six councils into one	
could be complex. Some staff	
might face changes in work	
base or team, for example, a	
district housing officer may	
find themselves in a larger	
county-wide housing service.	
Differences in organisational	
culture (county vs districts) will	
need reconciliation; without	

and this sould be disting	
care, this could lead to friction	
or 'us vs them' feelings.	
On the positive side, One	
Oxfordshire can offer a	
stronger employment	
proposition in the long run. A	
bigger authority can provide	
more varied internal	
opportunities; employees can	
move or be promoted across a	
broader range of roles without	
leaving the organisation.	
Professional development	
might improve with unified	
training budgets and peer	
support across former council	
boundaries.	
A single employer for the	
county could also standardise	
benefits to the best level	
currently offered (for instance,	
if one council had a	
particularly good flexible	
working scheme or family	
leave policy, the new council	
may adopt it for all staff). The	
proposal indicates an intention	
to 'embed a values-based	
culture, where employees	
culture, where employees	

		work together effectively and		
		are empowered to make		
		decisions', suggesting that the		
		new council will try to take the		
		best aspects of each		
		predecessor's culture to		
		create an improved working		
		environment. Additionally, by		
		eliminating duplication,		
		remaining staff may have		
		more resources to do their		
		jobs (e.g. one well-resourced		
		IT system instead of multiple		
		outdated ones, etc.), which		
		can increase job satisfaction.		

Other Council		Under the two-tier system,	Ensuring that the impact on	TBC	detailed
<b>Services</b>		various services were split	services stays positive		continuity plans
		between county and district	requires meticulous planning		by late 2027, with
		councils. One Oxfordshire	and continuous oversight:		first year of new
		brings them together. For			council (2028-29)
		example, currently the County	<ul> <li>For each service</li> </ul>		monitored as a
		handles highways while	area, a continuity plan		transition period
		districts handle parking	should be drawn up.		
		enforcement; the County	This means		
		handles social care while	identifying key tasks,		
		districts handle housing; public	deadlines, and		
		health is county, leisure	responsible personnel during the transition.		
		services are district, etc.	For instance, finance		
		In the new council, these	teams will ensure that		
	×	related services will sit under	supplier payments		
		 one management structure	and benefit		
		and one political leadership.	disbursements occur		
		This holistic governance is	without interruption		
		expected to improve strategic	on changeover of		
		coordination and remove the	bank accounts, etc. A		
		'silo effect' that sometimes-	'safe and legal Day 1'		
		impeded service	audit will be		
		effectiveness.	performed to verify all		
			statutory services		
		As the proposal notes, only a	(from child protection		
		single council 'joins up	to registrars) are fully		
		housing and licensing with	staffed and legally		
		adults and children's services,	compliant as the new		
		trading standards and	entity begins.		
		transport in a single council for			

the first time'. This should	The council should	
enable more innovative	assign experienced	
service models, e.g.,	service managers to	
multidisciplinary teams that	transition	
cover a range of resident	workstreams (as	
needs in one visit, or unified	indicated by the plan	
customer service centres that	with specific	
handle any enquiry without	workstreams for	
bouncing citizens between	Children's Services,	
authorities.	Highways, Housing,	
	etc.). These leads will	
Importantly, One Oxfordshire	engage current	
avoids breaking apart any	district officers and	
services. Many crucial	subject experts to	
services (adult social care,	transfer knowledge	
children's safeguarding, fire &	into the new	
rescue, SEN, highways) are	organisation. By	
already county-wide; these	involving those who	
remain intact and under the	run the services now,	
same organisational roof, so	the new council can	
there's Zero disaggregation	avoid reinventing the	
risk. Had the county been split	wheel or missing	
into multiple parts, those	functions.	
services would have been		
divided, but with a single	<ul> <li>A useful mitigation in</li> </ul>	
unitary, continuity is	such reorganisations	
maintained.	is to initially keep	
	public interfaces the	
For district-run services (waste	same, then gradually	
collection, local planning,	improve them. So,	
housing, benefits	residents might still	

administration, etc.), the new use the same phone council will take them on numbers or websites wholesale. All existing service for a short while, with calls redirected contracts and teams in those areas will transfer to the internally. Gradually, unitary. Thus, on Day 1, the council will residents should notice no consolidate into a break in service availability: single customer bins will still be collected on platform once it's sure the usual days, planning nothing will fall applications will still be through cracks. This processed (just now by staged approach 'Oxfordshire Council' instead prevents immediate of District X), etc. confusion. The Council's The implementation plan explicitly includes serviceprogramme includes specific workstreams to a legal and ensure each area is mapped procurement review and handed over smoothly. of all existing For example, there will be contracts to ensure dedicated planning to merge they are novated IT systems and contact correctly and continue centres so that no emails or to be delivered under calls get lost in the the new authority. For example, waste changeover. collection contracts Over time, the impact on that Districts had with services should be positive providers will be enhancements: transferred to Oxfordshire Council

standardise service levels upward. Currently, some services vary by district (e.g. different waste collection rules, different taxi licensing policies). The unitary can adopt the best approaches and apply them county-wide, making access to services more equal. It also simplifies things like one council tax bill instead of two tiers.  Bringing support services together (one IT system, one finance system) means more resources can be focused on front-line delivery rather than duplicated admin. Also, specialist teams (say a GIS mapping team or legal team) can cover the whole county rather than each for services and	<u> </u>	 		1		
levels upward. Currently, some services vary by district (e.g. different waste collection rules, different taxi licensing policies). The unitary can adopt the best approaches and apply them county-wide, making access to services more equal. It also simplifies things like one council tax bill instead of two tiers.  Bringing support services together (one IT system, one finance system) means more resources can be focused on front-line delivery rather than duplicated admin. Also, specialist teams (say a GIS mapping team or legal team) can cover the whole county  for any misalignment is renegotiation or bridging arrangements so that services don't stop. Essentially, residents services don't stop. Essentially, residents services don't stop. change in their services providers just because governance changed.  Internally, as noted above, staff will be guided on new processes (so they know where to escalate issues in a new structure). Externally, clear public communication will help mitigate any confusion: e.g. well ahead of time, the councils will inform residents for any misalignment is renegotiation or bridging arrangements so that services don't stop. Change in their services providers just because governance changed.  Internally, as noted above, staff will be guided on new processes (so they know where to escalate issues in a new structure). Externally, clear public communication will help mitigate any confusion: e.g. well ahead of time, the councils will inform residents		•	A single council can		seamlessly on vesting	
Currently, some services vary by district (e.g. different waste collection rules; different taxi licensing policies). The unitary can adopt the best approaches and apply them county-wide, making access to services more equal. It also simplifies things like one council tax bill instead of two tiers.  Bringing support services together (one IT system, one finance system) means more resources can be focused on front-line delivery rather than duplicated admin. Also, specialist teams (say a GIS mapping team or legal team) can cover the whole county  is renegotiation or bridging arrangements so that services don't stop.  bridging arrangements so that services don't stop.  Essentially, residents shouldn't notice any change in their service providers just because governance changed.  Internally, as noted above, staff will be guided on new processes (so they know where to escalate issues in a new structure).  Externally, clear public communication will help mitigate any confusion: e.g. well ahead of time, the councils will inform X deat they will contact to Cxfordshire Council					•	
services vary by district (e.g. different waste collection rules, different taxi licensing policies). The unitary can adopt the best approaches and apply them county-wide, making access to services more equal. It also simplifies things like one council tax bill instead of two tiers.  Bringing support services together (one IT system, one finance system) means more resources can be focused on front-line delivery rather than duplicated admin. Also, specialist teams (say a GIS mapping learn or legal team) can cover the whole county  bridging arrangements so that services don't stop. Essentially, residents shouldn't notice any change in their service providers just because governance changed.  * Internally, as noted above, staff will be guided on new processes (so they know where to escalate issues in a new structure). Externally, clear public communication will help mitigate any confusion: e.g. well ahead of time, the council'						
(e.g. different waste collection rules, different taxi licensing policies). The unitary can adopt the best approaches and apply them county-wide, making access to services more equal. It also simplifies things like one council tax bill instead of two tiers.  Bringing support services together (one IT system, one finance system) means more resources can be focused on front-line delivery rather than duplicated admin. Also, specialist teams (say a GIS mapping team or legal team) can cover the whole county  arrangements so that services don't stop. Essentially, residents shouldn't notice any change in their service providers just because governance changed.  Internally, as noted above, staff will be guided on new processes (so they know where to escalate issues in a new structure). Externally, clear public communication will help mitigate any confusion: e.g. well ahead of time, the councils will inform residents that from X date they will contact (Oxfordshire Council)			Currently, some		is renegotiation or	
collection rules, different taxi licensing policies). The unitary can adopt the best approaches and apply them county-wide, making access to services more equal. It also simplifies things like one council tax bill instead of two tiers.  • Bringing support services together (one IT system, one finance system) means more resources can be focused on front-line delivery rather than duplicated admin. Also, specialist teams (say a GIS mapping team or legal team) can cover the whole county  can adopt the best shouldn't notice any changed.  services don't stop. Essentially, residents shouldn't notice any change in their service providers just because governance changed.  Internally, as noted above, staff will be guided on new processes (so they know where to escalate issues in a new structure).  Externally, clear public communication will help mitigate any confusion: e.g. well ahead of time, the council's will inform residents that from X date they will contact to Oxfordshire Council'			services vary by district		<u>bridging</u>	
different taxi licensing policies). The unitary can adopt the best approaches and apply them county-wide, making access to services more equal. It also simplifies things like one council tax bill instead of two tiers.  Bringing support services together (one IT system, one finance system) means more resources can be focused on front-line delivery rather than duplicated admin. Also, specialist teams (say a GIS mapping team or legal team) can cover the whole county  Essentially, residents shouldn't notice any change in their service providers just because governance changed.  Internally, as noted above, staff will be guided on new processes (so they know where to escalate issues in a new structure). Externally, clear public communication will help mitigate any confusion: e.g. well ahead of time, the council's will inform residents that from X date they will contact to Xfordshire Council'			(e.g. different waste		arrangements so that	
policies). The unitary can adopt the best approaches and apply them county-wide, making access to services more equal. It also simplifies things like one council tax bill instead of two tiers.  Bringing support services together (one IT system, one finance system) means more resources can be focused on front-line delivery rather than duplicated admin. Also, specialist teams (say a GIS mapping team or legal team) can cover the whole county  service providers just because governance changed.  Internally, as noted above, staff will be guided on new processes (so they know where to escalate issues in a new structure).  Externally, clear public communication will help mitigate any confusion: e.g. well ahead of time, the councils will inform residents that from X date they will contact 'Oxfordshire Council'			collection rules,		services don't stop.	
can adopt the best approaches and apply them county-wide, making access to services more equal. It also simplifies things like one council tax bill instead of two tiers.  Bringing support services together (one IT system, one finance system) means more resources can be focused on front-line delivery rather than duplicated admin. Also, specialist teams (say a GIS mapping team or legal team) can cover the whole county  change in their service providers just because governance changed.  Internally, as noted above, staff will be guided on new processes (so they know where to escalate issues in a new structure). Externally, clear public communication will help mitigate any confusion: e.g. well ahead of time, the councils will inform residents that from X date they will contact 'Oxfordshire Council'			different taxi licensing		Essentially, residents	
approaches and apply them county-wide, making access to services more equal. It also simplifies things like one council tax bill instead of two tiers.  Bringing support services together (one IT system, one finance system) means more resources can be focused on front-line delivery rather than duplicated admin. Also, specialist teams (say a GIS mapping team or legal team) can cover the whole county  service providers just because governance changed.  Internally, as noted above, staff will be guided on new processes (so they know where to escalate issues in a new structure). Externally, clear public communication will help mitigate any confusion: e.g. well ahead of time, the councils will inform residents that from X date they will contact to (Oxfordshire Council)			policies). The unitary		shouldn't notice any	
them county-wide, making access to services more equal. It also simplifies things like one council tax bill instead of two tiers.  Bringing support services together (one IT system, one finance system) means more resources can be focused on front-line delivery rather than duplicated admin. Also, specialist teams (say a GIS mapping team or legal team) can cover the whole county  Internally, as noted above, staff will be guided on new processes (so they know where to escalate issues in a new structure). Externally, clear public communication will help mitigate any confusion: e.g. well ahead of time, the councils will inform residents that from X date they will contact 'Oxfordshire Council'			can adopt the best		change in their	
them county-wide, making access to services more equal. It also simplifies things like one council tax bill instead of two tiers.  Bringing support services together (one IT system, one finance system) means more resources can be focused on front-line delivery rather than duplicated admin. Also, specialist teams (say a GIS mapping team or legal team) can cover the whole county  Internally, as noted above, staff will be guided on new processes (so they know where to escalate issues in a new structure). Externally, clear public communication will help mitigate any confusion: e.g. well ahead of time, the councils will inform residents that from X date they will contact 'Oxfordshire Council'			approaches and apply		service providers just	
services more equal. It also simplifies things like one council tax bill instead of two tiers.  Bringing support services together (one IT system, one finance system) means more resources can be focused on front-line delivery rather than duplicated admin. Also, specialist teams (say a GIS mapping team or legal team) can cover the whole county  Internally, as noted above, staff will be guided on new processes (so they know where to escalate issues in a new structure).  Externally, clear public communication will help mitigate any confusion: e.g. well ahead of time, the councils will inform residents that from X date they will contact 'Oxfordshire Council'			them county-wide,		because governance	
also simplifies things like one council tax bill instead of two tiers.  • Bringing support services together (one IT system, one finance system) means more resources can be focused on front-line delivery rather than duplicated admin. Also, specialist teams (say a GIS mapping team or legal team) can cover the whole county  • Internally, as noted above, staff will be guided on new processes (so they know where to escalate issues in a new structure). Externally, clear public communication will help mitigate any confusion: e.g. well ahead of time, the councils will inform residents that from X date they will contact 'Oxfordshire Council'			making access to		changed.	
like one council tax bill instead of two tiers.  Bringing support services together (one IT system, one finance system) means more resources can be focused on front-line delivery rather than duplicated admin. Also, specialist teams (say a GIS mapping team or legal team) can cover the whole county  Bringing support sequided on new processes (so they know where to escalate issues in a new structure). Externally, clear public communication will help mitigate any confusion: e.g. well ahead of time, the councils will inform residents that from X date they will contact toxion: e.g. where the guided on new processes (so they know where to escalate issues in a new structure).  Externally, clear public communication will help mitigate any confusion: e.g. well ahead of time, the councils will inform residents that from X date they will contact the whole county			services more equal. It			
guided on new processes (so they know where to services together (one IT system, one finance system) means more resources can be focused on front-line delivery rather than duplicated admin. Also, specialist teams (say a GIS mapping team or legal team) can cover the whole county  Bringing support know where to escalate issues in a new structure).  Externally, clear public communication will help mitigate any confusion: e.g. well ahead of time, the councils will inform residents that from X date they will contact 'Oxfordshire Council'			also simplifies things	•		
Bringing support services together (one IT system, one finance system) means more resources can be focused on front-line delivery rather than duplicated admin. Also, specialist teams (say a GIS mapping team or legal team) can cover the whole county  Bringing support know where to escalate issues in a new structure).  Externally, clear public communication will help mitigate any confusion: e.g. well ahead of time, the councils will inform residents that from X date they will contact 'Oxfordshire Council'			like one council tax bill			
Bringing support services together (one IT system, one finance system) means more resources can be focused on front-line delivery rather than duplicated admin. Also, specialist teams (say a GIS mapping team or legal team) can cover the whole county  Bringing support know where to escalate issues in a new structure). Externally, clear public communication will help mitigate any confusion: e.g. well ahead of time, the councils will inform residents that from X date they will contact 'Oxfordshire Council'			instead of two tiers.			
services together (one IT system, one finance system) means more resources can be focused on front-line delivery rather than duplicated admin. Also, specialist teams (say a GIS mapping team or legal team) can cover the whole county  escalate issues in a new structure).  Externally, clear public communication will help mitigate any confusion: e.g. well ahead of time, the councils will inform residents that from X date they will contact 'Oxfordshire Council'						
T system, one finance system) means more resources can be focused on front-line delivery rather than duplicated admin. Also, specialist teams (say a GIS mapping team or legal team) can cover the whole county  new structure).  Externally, clear public communication will help mitigate any confusion: e.g. well ahead of time, the councils will inform residents that from X date they will contact 'Oxfordshire Council'		•				
system) means more resources can be focused on front-line delivery rather than duplicated admin. Also, specialist teams (say a GIS mapping team or legal team) can cover the whole county  Externally, clear public communication will help mitigate any confusion: e.g. well ahead of time, the councils will inform residents that from X date they will contact 'Oxfordshire Council'			• ,		escalate issues in a	
resources can be focused on front-line delivery rather than duplicated admin. Also, specialist teams (say a GIS mapping team or legal team) can cover the whole county  public communication will help mitigate any confusion: e.g. well ahead of time, the residents that from X date they will contact 'Oxfordshire Council'			IT system, one finance		,	
focused on front-line delivery rather than duplicated admin. Also, specialist teams (say a GIS mapping team or legal team) can cover the whole county  will help mitigate any confusion: e.g. well ahead of time, the councils will inform residents that from X date they will contact 'Oxfordshire Council'			system) means more		Externally, clear	
delivery rather than duplicated admin. Also, specialist teams (say a GIS mapping team or legal team) can cover the whole county  confusion: e.g. well ahead of time, the councils will inform residents that from X date they will contact 'Oxfordshire Council'			resources can be		public communication	
duplicated admin. Also, specialist teams (say a GIS mapping team or legal team) can cover the whole county  ahead of time, the councils will inform residents that from X date they will contact 'Oxfordshire Council'			focused on front-line		will help mitigate any	
specialist teams (say a GIS mapping team or legal team) can cover the whole county  councils will inform residents that from X date they will contact 'Oxfordshire Council'			delivery rather than		confusion: e.g. well	
GIS mapping team or legal team) can cover the whole county  residents that from X date they will contact 'Oxfordshire Council'			duplicated admin. Also,		ahead of time, the	
legal team) can cover the whole county date they will contact 'Oxfordshire Council'			<mark>specialist teams (say a</mark>		councils will inform	
the whole county 'Oxfordshire Council'			GIS mapping team or		residents that from X	
			legal team) can cover		date they will contact	
rather than each for services and			the whole county		'Oxfordshire Council'	
			rather than each		for services and	

team, this creates a larger pool of expertise and resilience if one member is away. For smaller services that each district struggled to maintain, a unitary could provide more capacity (for instance, emergency planning or economic development functions will benefit from unified staffing).  The new leadership might explore cross-cutting reforms. This could lead to new ways of delivering services, like the 'Oxfordshire Way' prevention approach in social care expanding into community services of combining currently separate processes (e.g. a joint assessment for a			
arger pool of expertise and resilience if one member is away. For smaller services that each district struggled to maintain, a unitary could provide more capacity (for instance, emergency planning or economic development functions will benefit from unified staffing).  The new leadership might explore cross- cutting reforms. This could lead to new ways of delivering services, like the 'Oxfordshire Way' prevention approach in social care expanding into community services or combining currently separate processes (e.g. a joint assessment for a	council having a small	reassure them all	
and resilience if one member is away. For smaller services that each district struggled to maintain, a unitary could provide more capacity (for instance, emergency planning or economic development functions will benefit from unified staffing).  The new leadership might explore cross-cutting reforms. This could lead to new ways of delivering services, like the 'Oxfordshire Way' prevention approach in social care expanding into community services or combining currently separate processes (e.g. a joint assessment for a	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		
member is away. For smaller services that each district struggled to maintain, a unitary could provide more capacity (for instance, emergency planning or economic development functions will benefit from unified staffing).  The new leadership might explore cross-cutting reforms. This could lead to new ways of delivering services, like the 'Oxfordshire Way' prevention approach in social care expanding into community services or combining currently separate processes (e.g. a joint assessment for a		<mark>available. This</mark>	
smaller services that each district struggled to maintain, a unitary could provide more capacity (for instance, emergency planning or economic development functions will benefit from unified staffing).  The new leadership might explore cross- cutting reforms. This could lead to new ways of delivering services, like the 'Oxfordshire Way' prevention approach in social care expanding into community services or combining currently separate processes (e.g. a joint assessment for a		includes updating	
each district struggled to maintain, a unitary could provide more capacity (for instance, emergency planning or economic development functions will benefit from unified staffing).  The new leadership might explore cross-cutting reforms. This could lead to new ways of delivering services, like the 'Oxfordshire Way' prevention approach in social care expanding into community services or combining currently separate processes (e.g. a joint assessment for a		signage, websites,	
to maintain, a unitary could provide more capacity (for instance, emergency planning or economic development functions will benefit from unified staffing).  The new leadership might explore cross- cutting reforms. This could lead to new ways of delivering services, like the 'Oxfordshire Way' prevention approach in social care expanding into community services or combining currently separate processes (e.g. a joint assessment for a	smaller services that	emails, etc. on a	
could provide more capacity (for instance, emergency planning or economic development functions will benefit from unified staffing).  The new leadership might explore cross- cutting reforms. This could lead to new ways of delivering services, like the 'Oxfordshire Way' prevention approach in social care expanding into community services or combining currently separate processes (e.g. a joint assessment for a	each district struggled	coordinated schedule	
capacity (for instance, emergency planning or economic development functions will benefit from unified staffing).  The new leadership might explore cross-cutting reforms. This could lead to new ways of delivering services, like the 'Oxfordshire Way' prevention approach in social care expanding into community services or combining currently separate processes (e.g. a joint assessment for a	to maintain, a unitary	so that the public	
emergency planning or economic development functions will benefit from unified staffing).  The new leadership might explore cross- cutting reforms. This could lead to new ways of delivering services, like the 'Oxfordshire Way' prevention approach in social care expanding into community services or combining currently separate processes (e.g. a joint assessment for a	could provide more	always find the help	
economic development functions will benefit from unified staffing).  The new leadership might explore cross- cutting reforms. This could lead to new ways of delivering services, like the 'Oxfordshire Way' prevention approach in social care expanding into community services or combining currently separate processes (e.g. a joint assessment for a	capacity (for instance,	they need.	
functions will benefit from unified staffing).  The new leadership might explore cross- cutting reforms. This could lead to new ways of delivering services, like the 'Oxfordshire Way' prevention approach in social care expanding into community services or combining currently separate processes (e.g. a joint assessment for a	emergency planning or	-	
from unified staffing).  The new leadership might explore cross- cutting reforms. This could lead to new ways of delivering services, like the 'Oxfordshire Way' prevention approach in social care expanding into community services or combining currently separate processes (e.g. a joint assessment for a	economic development		
The new leadership might explore cross- cutting reforms. This could lead to new ways of delivering services, like the 'Oxfordshire Way' prevention approach in social care expanding into community services or combining currently separate processes (e.g. a joint assessment for a	functions will benefit		
might explore cross- cutting reforms. This could lead to new ways of delivering services, like the 'Oxfordshire Way' prevention approach in social care expanding into community services or combining currently separate processes (e.g. a joint assessment for a	from unified staffing).		
might explore cross- cutting reforms. This could lead to new ways of delivering services, like the 'Oxfordshire Way' prevention approach in social care expanding into community services or combining currently separate processes (e.g. a joint assessment for a			
cutting reforms. This could lead to new ways of delivering services, like the 'Oxfordshire Way' prevention approach in social care expanding into community services or combining currently separate processes (e.g. a joint assessment for a	<u> </u>		
could lead to new ways of delivering services, like the 'Oxfordshire Way' prevention approach in social care expanding into community services or combining currently separate processes (e.g. a joint assessment for a			
of delivering services, like the 'Oxfordshire Way' prevention approach in social care expanding into community services or combining currently separate processes (e.g. a joint assessment for a			
like the 'Oxfordshire Way' prevention approach in social care expanding into community services or combining currently separate processes (e.g. a joint assessment for a			
Way' prevention approach in social care expanding into community services or combining currently separate processes (e.g. a joint assessment for a			
approach in social care expanding into community services or combining currently separate processes (e.g. a joint assessment for a			
expanding into community services or combining currently separate processes (e.g. a joint assessment for a			
community services or combining currently separate processes (e.g. a joint assessment for a			
combining currently separate processes (e.g. a joint assessment for a			
separate processes (e.g. a joint assessment for a			
(e.g. a joint assessment for a			
assessment for a			
racident's accidence			
	resident's social care		
and housing needs).	and housing needs).		

Freed from inter-
council negotiations,
the single authority can
implement changes
<mark>faster.</mark>
The main notential negative to
The main potential negative to
guard against is short-term
disruption during transition.
Merging operations is
complex: data and IT
integration issues could
temporarily affect service
responsiveness (for example,
aligning all customer
databases might cause some
delays if not properly tested).
There is also a risk that during
the reorganisation effort,
management attention is
diverted from day-to-day
service performance. The
council acknowledges these
risks and has committed to
prioritise service continuity
above all else during the
change. The phased approach
(with a joint programme team
before vesting day) is intended
to pre-plan every detail to

		make the 'switchover' seamless for the public.		
Providers		Currently, some providers have contracts with the County Council, others with District Councils, some with both. For example:  • The waste management consortium Ubico is employed by some Oxfordshire districts for collection; a different contractor (Biffa) handles others, or a single leisure trust might operate leisure centres in two districts separately.  • Social care providers (like home care agencies) are contracted by the County, but they also might liaise with	The council should (and will) proactively engage all major contractors, suppliers, and commissioned partners well before the transition. This may include briefing events or individual meetings to explain how invoicing, contract management, and contacts will change. Clear guidance (FAQs, helpdesk) will be provided so that no provider is confused about who to talk to for their contract. This reduces any administrative hiccups (like a supplier not getting paid on time due to confusion, which the council will want to avoid at all costs).  As noted, a combined legal-procurement team is likely reviewing every contract. They will identify any that require formal novation letters or consents from the provider and ensure those are secured. Mitigation for complex cases (if any	

districts for housingrelated support.

Immediately upon reorganisation, all these contracts will be transferred to the unitary. The contract terms, payment schedules, and points of contact might eventually be consolidated, but initially the providers continue delivering services as contracted. The new council inherits all legal obligations to pay and manage those contracts.

To the providers, the main change is administrative: invoicing 'Oxfordshire Council' instead of, say, 'Cherwell District Council,' but the work and payment shouldn't change. The programme team will communicate with all contractors well in advance to explain the novation of contracts and ensure they are comfortable with it, this is typically a formal process but usually straightforward since

contract has a clause triggered by structural change) is to seek agreement with the provider for continuity or put in place interim arrangements until a new contract can be let.

The council should reassure voluntary sector providers that grants or contracts they depend on will continue. For example, if a charity receives grants from multiple district councils and the county, the new council should ideally consolidate those funds (not cut them) and give the charity a single multi-year agreement. Early budget planning in the new council will consider these commitments to prevent any funding gap. Publishing a statement like 'all existing service contracts will be honoured through their term' would be a good practice to settle any nerves in the provider community.

To mitigate the risk to small providers, the new council's procurement strategy can include dividing large

the contract terms remain the same, just the client's name changes.  Over time, the positive impacts for providers could include:  Instead of, for example, a care home needing separate monitoring visits from county (for care quality) and district (for housing grant compliance), they will	contracts into regional lots or requiring big contractors to sub-contract locally. OCC's current approach (according to its Social Value Action Plan) is to set up Oxfordshire-only supplier frameworks to give local SMEs a first shot at council business. The unitary can adopt this, meaning even as contracts unify, local businesses and social enterprises get fair opportunity. Additionally, the council will apply the Social Value Act in all procurements, considering	
have one set of contract managers. This can simplify reporting for providers.  • Larger unified contracts might be issued (for instance, a single countywide waste collection contract when current ones expire, or unified grounds maintenance). Big providers might welcome this as it increases scale and	economic and social well-being benefits in awarding contracts. (This is expanded under 'Social Value' below.)  For key partners (NHS, Police, universities), the council has likely established a liaison group as part of the programme. This ensures external stakeholders align their arrangements (for instance, the Health & Wellbeing Board and Community Safety Partnership will be reconstituted to match the	

efficiency, they can	single council structure).	
serve a larger area	Ensuring these partners have	
under one agreement	updated contacts and that no	
rather than juggling	joint projects fall through the	
multiple smaller ones.	cracks is part of these mitigations.	
Similarly, voluntary	mugations.	
sector partners might	With these measures,	
have access to a	providers should experience	
single, larger grant	a smooth transition and	
fund rather than	possibly easier working	
multiple small pots,	relationship with local	
potentially increasing	government after the change.	
funding stability if the	Essentially, the new council	
new council commits to	wants to be seen as a	
long-term countywide	reliable single client, with	
commissioning of	timely payments and	
services (like	coherent oversight, thereby	
homelessness	maintaining provider	
prevention or advice	goodwill. Over the longer	
services).	term, any efficiencies in	
<ul> <li>Providers often must</li> </ul>	contract management could	
adapt to different rules	even translate into savings	
in different districts.	that allow the council to	
One Oxfordshire can	reinvest in services	
reduce that complexity	(potentially benefiting	
(e.g. a housing	providers through expanded	
developer will face one	contracts). Since no provider	
set of planning policies	is being specifically targeted	
and one Community	for cost-cutting (savings are	

		<u> </u>	
regime rather than up	restructuring), the impact		
to five). This regulatory	remains neutral/slightly		
consistency can make	positive.		
Oxfordshire a more			
attractive place for			
doing business with			
the council, as			
processes will be			
clearer and uniform.			
Potential negative impacts or			
concerns:			
<ul> <li>There's a risk that if</li> </ul>			
the council moves to			
very large contracts,			
smaller local providers			
could be edged out by			
big firms. To mitigate			
this, the council's			
procurement strategy			
(and Social Value			
policy) can break			
tenders into lots or			
favour local supply			
chains where			
appropriate. Indeed,			
OCC's Social Value			
Action Plan specifically			
aims to create			
opportunities for			

Oxfordshire-based	
SMEs/VCSEs (small	
enterprises and	
charities) in	
procurement.	
In some cases, the	
new council might	
rationalise overlapping	
contracts. For	
example, if two districts	
had separate but	
similar contracts with	
the same provider (say	
for software or	
equipment), the council	
might merge them into	
one sooner than	
waiting for expiry, by	
negotiation. This	
should not harm the	
provider (it may	
simplify their dealings),	
but it requires legal	
handling.	
Partners such as the	
NHS or Thames Valley	
Police, while not	
'providers' to the	
council, will suddenly	
interact with one	

Social Value 1		council instead of six on various boards and operational matters. This is generally positive (simpler partnership), but they will need to adjust their contacts and possibly agreements (like information-sharing protocols) to reflect the new structure. Early engagement is underway to ensure partners are ready for this change, and they largely support having a single interlocutor for the county.	Adaption of a Single Social	Wood of	Now Social Value
Social Value <sup>1</sup>	×	Although the 'One Oxfordshire' proposal is not a procurement, the reorganisation can influence the council's approach to Social Value – i.e., the additional economic, social, and environmental benefits	Adoption of a Single Social Value Policy should be one of the first tasks to merge the existing County and District policies on social value into one robust policy for the unitary. Given OCC has an updated policy framework,	Head of Commercial & Procurement	New Social Value Policy adopted by Vesting Day, apply to all new procurements thereafter.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> If the Public Services (Social Value) Act 2012 applies to this proposal, please summarise here how you have considered how the contract might improve the economic, social, and environmental well-being of the relevant area

arising from council activities, especially regarding how it commissions services, works with communities, and fosters well-being. The Public Services (Social Value) Act 2012 requires councils to consider improving social, economic, environmental wellbeing in procurement of services. Oxfordshire County Council already has a strong social value policy and has recently developed a new definition and action plan for social value. A single unitary council provides a chance to embed these principles across all services and contracts county-wide, potentially generating greater social value. The impact is positive in that it can amplify initiatives like local job creation, carbon reduction, and community resilience by leveraging the larger scale of the new council. There is no identified negative aspect – at worst, it's neutral if the council simply

the new council can likely extend that to cover all procurement (including former district domains). This policy should be approved by the new council's Cabinet early on, signalling the importance of social value in everything from construction projects to service contracts.

Ensure that all commissioning officers and decision-makers in the new council understand how to incorporate social value. The Commercial team is already planning learning & development around this – that will continue. Councillors on the new council will also be briefed so that, for instance, when approving a contract award, they expect to see social value considerations included.

Some services (like waste collection, housing maintenance) that were previously district-led might

carries on existing practices; not have embedded social at best, it actively uses the value clauses historically. As unification to drive more those contracts come up for ambitious social value renewal under the unitary, the council can enhance outcomes: them with social value The new council requirements. A mitigation becomes one of the here is to avoid any lapses, largest purchasers and i.e., ensure that even during employers in the interim periods, no region. By having a opportunities for social value single procurement are missed. For example, if a service, it can ensure district contract is expiring in that for every contract, 2026 (before the unitary goes considerations like live), perhaps extension using local suppliers, options are considered to let creating the new council handle a apprenticeships, and more social-value-rich resupporting small procurement post-2028. businesses are built in. The new council should track Previously, one council might do this and the social value delivered. another might not; now OCC noted current reporting it can be uniform. For was 'sporadic' and intends to example, if Oxfordshire improve contract Council builds a new management to ensure road or school, it can promised social value is set requirements for delivered. The unitary can strengthen this by, for contractors to hire local

instance, using the Social

Value Portal or similar tools

apprentices or use

local supply chains,

thus boosting local	across all services. Strong	
employment.	monitoring will ensure the	
•		
_	1 .	
strategies – keeping	(aligned with being a 'Marmot	
wealth within local	County' to tackle health	
communities by	inequalities) would greatly	
contracting locally and	boost the social outcomes of	
encouraging employee-led	the reform.	

construction can be	
applied more broadly.	
Additionally, One	
Additionally, One	
Oxfordshire's scale might	
allow innovation in delivering	
social value. The Commercial	
& Procurement Service's	
action plan (2025) outlines	
steps such as establishing	
local supplier frameworks and	
setting default social value	
criteria for tenders. As a large	
unitary, implementing these	
will have a wider impact: for	
instance, default criteria could	
include requiring contractors	
to contribute to local workforce	
skills – doing this across all	
spend (county and district	
functions combined) means	
more benefit accrues in	
absolute terms than if only	
some councils did it.	
Some councils did it.	
There is also a community	
development dimension: the	
new council's emphasis on	
area committees and locality	
working can generate social	
value by empowering local	
value by empowering local	

	initiatives (e.g. supporting social enterprises, parish councils, etc.). While this is indirectly related, it aligns with the intent to improve social outcomes as part of the council's operation.		

## **Section 4: Review**

Where bias, negative impact or disadvantage is identified, the proposal and/or implementation can be adapted or changed; meaning there is a need for regular review. This review may also be needed to reflect additional data and evidence for a fuller assessment (proportionate to the decision in question). Please state the agreed review timescale for the identified impacts of the policy implementation or service change.

Review Date	30/10/2026
Person Responsible for Review	Glyn – you put your name here
Authorised By	Helen / Lorna name here